

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				My .	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/015,225	12/13/2001	Freeman Leigh Rawson III	AUS920010796US1	6315	
⁴⁷⁹⁵⁹ IBM CORP. (A	7590 03/22/2007 VE)		EXAMINER		
C/O LAW OFF	ICÉ OF ANTHONY ENC	CHANG, ERIC			
PO BOX 5307 AUSTIN, TX 7	8763-5307		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2116		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	03/22/2007	PAF	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/015,225	RAWSON, FREEMAN LEIGH		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Eric Chang	2116		
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	idress	
WHICHEVER IS LONGER - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the may lift NO period for reply is specified all Failure to reply within the set or extensions.	, FROM THE MAILING DA e under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 illing date of this communication. bove, the maximum statutory period wended period for reply will, by statute, er than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE adde of this communication, even if timely filed.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL 3)□ Since this application	is in condition for allowar	ecember 2006. action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims			-		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-10,12</u>	m(s) is/are withdrave allowed. - <u>18 and 20-27</u> is/are rejected to.	vn from consideration. ted.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is of 10) The drawing(s) filed of Applicant may not required Replacement drawing s	est that any objection to the objection in the objection including the corrections.	r. epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTG2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 2116

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-2, 4-10, 12-18 and 20-27 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 4-10, 12-18 and 20-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,711,691 to Howard.
- 4. As to claim 1, Howard discloses a method of conserving power consumption in a multiprocessor data processing system, comprising: monitoring a workload of the system [12];
 distributing the workload asymmetrically to the processors in the system [col. 9, lines 51-60];
 determining a number of processors required to process the monitored workload at a
 predetermined performance criterion [col. 4, lines 33-58]; activating or deactivating processors
 to conform the number of active processors in the system to the determined number of
 processors [16, 20]; and processing the workload with the active processors while maintaining
 the deactivated processors in a reduced power state [col. 4, lines 33-58], wherein deactivating a
 processor includes selecting a processor for deactivation based on the processor's workload [col.
 9, lines 51-60].

5. As to claim 2, Howard discloses determining the number of processors required comprises determining the minimum number of processors required to achieve the performance criterion [col. 4, lines 33-58].

- 6. As to claim 4, Howard discloses deactivating a processor includes migrating processes running on a processor selected for deactivation to another processor [502].
- 7. As to claim 5, Howard discloses deactivating a processor further includes flushing the processor's cache memory before deactivating the processor [506].
- 8. As to claim 6, Howard discloses deactivating a processor comprises transitioning a processor to the lowest power state supported by the processor [col. 8, lines 59-62].
- 9. As to claim 7, Howard discloses monitoring the workload comprises determining the demand for CPU cycles [col. 4, lines 33-58].
- 10. As to claim 8, Howard discloses monitoring the workload includes determining the instantaneous workload periodically and averaging the instantaneous workload data to obtain an average workload [col. 4, lines 59-64].
- 11. As to claim 9, Howard discloses a data processing system including processor, memory, and I/O means, the system including a sequence of processor executable instructions for

Application/Control Number: 10/015,225

Art Unit: 2116

conserving power, the instructions being stored on a computer readable medium, comprising: computer code means for monitoring a workload of the system [12]; computer code means for distributing the workload asymmetrically to the processors in the system [col. 9, lines 51-60]; computer code means for determining a number of processors required to process the monitored workload at a predetermined performance criterion [col. 4, lines 33-58]; computer code means for activating or deactivating processors to conform the number of active processors in the system to the determined number of processors [16, 20]; and computer code means for processing the workload with the active processors while maintaining the deactivated processors in a reduced power state [col. 4, lines 33-58]; wherein the code means for selecting a processor for deactivation based on the processor's workload [col. 9, lines 51-60].

Page 4

- 12. As to claim 10, Howard discloses determining the number of processors required comprises code means for determining the minimum number of processors required to achieve the performance criterion [col. 4, lines 33-58].
- 13. As to claim 12, Howard discloses deactivating a processor includes code means for migrating processes running on a processor selected for deactivation to another processor [502].
- 14. As to claim 13, Howard discloses deactivating a processor further includes code means for flushing the processor's cache memory before deactivating the processor [506].

Art Unit: 2116

15. As to claim 14, Howard discloses deactivating a processor comprises code means for transitioning a processor to the lowest power state supported by the processor [col. 8, lines 59-62].

- 16. As to claim 15, Howard discloses monitoring the workload comprises code means for determining the demand for CPU cycles [col. 4, lines 33-58].
- 17. As to claim 16, Howard discloses monitoring the workload includes code means for determining the instantaneous workload periodically and averaging the instantaneous workload data to obtain an average workload [col. 4, lines 59-64].
- 18. As to claim 17, Howard discloses a computer program product comprising a sequence of processor executable instructions for conserving power, the instructions being stored on a computer readable medium, comprising: computer code means for monitoring a workload of the system [12]; computer code means for distributing the workload asymmetrically to the processors in the system [col. 9, lines 51-60]; computer code means for determining a number of processors required to process the monitored workload at a predetermined performance criterion [col. 4, lines 33-58]; computer code means for activating or deactivating processors to conform the number of active processors in the system to the determined number of processors [16, 20]; and computer code means for processing the workload with the active processors while maintaining the deactivated processors in a reduced power state [col. 4, lines 33-58]; wherein the

Art Unit: 2116

code means for selecting a processor for deactivation based on the processor's workload [col. 9, lines 51-60].

- 19. As to claim 18, Howard discloses determining the number of processors required comprises code means for determining the minimum number of processors required to achieve the performance criterion [col. 4, lines 33-58].
- 20. As to claim 20, Howard discloses deactivating a processor includes code means for migrating processes running on a processor selected for deactivation to another processor [502].
- 21. As to claim 21, Howard discloses deactivating a processor further includes code means for flushing the processor's cache memory before deactivating the processor [506].
- 22. As to claim 22, Howard discloses deactivating a processor comprises code means for transitioning a processor to the lowest power state supported by the processor [col. 8, lines 59-62].
- 23. As to claim 23, Howard discloses monitoring the workload comprises code means for determining the demand for CPU cycles [col. 4, lines 33-58].

Art Unit: 2116

24. As to claim 24, Howard discloses monitoring the workload includes code means for determining the instantaneous workload periodically and averaging the instantaneous workload data to obtain an average workload [col. 4, lines 59-64].

25. As to claims 25-27, Howard discloses the monitoring of the workload of the system includes triggering the workload monitoring responsive to an asynchronous event selected from among asynchronous events including one or more of the following: initiation of a new process, termination of an executing process, and availability of transaction buffers on the system [col. 16, lines 54-65].

Response to Arguments

- 26. Applicant's arguments filed December 6, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 27. In the remarks, applicants argued in substance that Howard does not teach or suggest that distributing the workload asymmetrically to the processors in the system. But Howard teaches distributing the workload asymmetrically to the processors in the system [col. 9, lines 51-60], because Howard teaches that particular processors may have light workloads and deactivated as a result. Because Howard teaches that particular processors within the system may have lighter workloads than other processors, Howard teaches that the workload is asymmetrically distributed among the processors of the system.

Application/Control Number: 10/015,225

Art Unit: 2116

28. In the remarks, applicants argued in substance that Howard does not teach or suggest that deactivating a processor includes selecting a processor for deactivation based on the processor's workload. But Howard teaches deactivating a processor includes selecting a processor for deactivation based on the processor's workload [col. 9, lines 51-60], because Howard teaches that particular processors may have light workloads and deactivated as a result. Because Howard teaches deactivating processors within the system that have lighter workloads than other processors, Howard teaches deactivating a processor includes selecting a processor for deactivation based on the processor's workload.

Page 8

29. In the remarks, applicants argued in substance that Howard does not teach or suggest that triggering the workload monitoring responsive to an asynchronous event selected from among asynchronous events including one or more of the following: initiation of a new process, termination of an executing process, and availability of transaction buffers on the system. But Howard teaches triggering the workload monitoring when a processor has no useful work to perform. Because the termination of the last executing process on a processor results in no useful work for the processor to perform, and Howard teaches that the processor is subsequently selected for possible low power mode [col. 16, lines 54-65], Howard teaches the monitoring is responsive to an asynchronous event selected from among asynchronous events including one or more of the following: initiation of a new process, termination of an executing process, and availability of transaction buffers on the system.

Art Unit: 2116

Conclusion

30. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

31. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3671. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rehana Perveen can be reached on (571) 272-3676. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2116

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

March 19, 2007 ec

